# **ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE**

	1. CON		00
Page 1 of2	Proj. ECN	*******	 

2. ECN Category					
I Z. EUN GALESUNY	3 Originatorie Name	, Organization, MSIN,	4. USQ Requir	ed?	5. Date
(mark one)	and Telephone No.	£ 10 3	4. Ook Keguri	· · · ·	3. pace
	B. A. Higley,	LMHC, H5-27 KN	[] Yes [X	] No	7/28/97
Supplemental Direct Revision K	376-5694				, ,
Change ECN []	6. Project Title/No.	/Work Order No.	7. Bldg./Sys.	/Fac. No.	8. Approval Designator
Temporary [] Standby []	•	241-U-105	NA		NA NA
Standby [] ( Supersedure []					
Cancel/Void []	<ol><li>Document Numbers (includes sheet r</li></ol>		10. Related E	CN NO(S).	11. Related PO No.
<b>\</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ER-617, Rev. 0	NA	:	NA
13- Madification Harls		-12c. Modification Work			ed to Original Condi-
12a. Modification Work	No.	201201 MODER CONTROL	comprete		or Standby ECN only)
Yes (fill out Blk.	NA.	NA.	1	NA	,
12b)					
[X] No (NA Blks. 12b,		Design Authority/Cog.	Fngineer	Design Au	uthority/Cog. Engineer
12c, 12d)		Signature & Da			ignature & Date
13a. Description of Change		13b. Design Baseline	Document? []	Yes X	.] .No
Add Appendix D, Eva				-	_
	iluation to Esta	milan pear-pasis r	iiveiicoi y i	or orngre	S-SHETT TAIK
241-U-105.	•				
Į.					
J ,					
}			•		
l					
	and the second of the second o		* *		
	•			•	
1					
		•			
14a. Justification (mark o	ne)				
		f1	гa		
Criteria Change	Design Improvement	[] Environmental	[]		ty Deactivation []
As-Found [X]	Facilitate Const	[] Environmental [] Const. Error/C			ty Deactivation [] Error/Omission []
As-Found [X]	Facilitate Const	[] Const. Error/C	mission []	Design	Error/Omission []
As-Found [X] 14b. Justification Details An effort is	Facilitate Const	[] Const. Error/C	mission [] ry estimat	Design es that w	Error/Omission [] Will serve as
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri	Facilitate Const underway to pro zation source t	[] Const. Error/C ovide waste invento erms for the vario	mission [] ry estimat us waste m	Design es that wanagemen	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort	underway to prozation source to, an evaluation	[] Const. Error/Covide Waste invento terms for the various of available info	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo	Design es that wanagemen r single	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort 241-U-105 was perfo	underway to prozation source to, an evaluation best	[] Const. Error/Convide Waste invento terms for the various of available info tet-basis inventory	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ	Design es that wanagemen r single- ished.	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort	underway to prozation source to, an evaluation best	[] Const. Error/Convide Waste invento terms for the various of available info tet-basis inventory	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ	Design es that wanagemen r single- ished.	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort 241-U-105 was perfo	underway to prozation source to, an evaluation best	[] Const. Error/Convide Waste invento terms for the various of available info tet-basis inventory	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ	Design es that wanagemen r single- ished.	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort 241-U-105 was perfo	underway to prozation source to an evaluation source to bring and a bestablish	[] Const. Error/Covide waste invento erms for the varion of available info st-basis inventory ned by the standard	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ	Design es that wanagemen r single- ished.	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details	underway to prozation source to, an evaluation remed, and a best was establish	[] Const. Error/Covide waste invento erms for the varion of available info st-basis inventory ned by the standard	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ inventory	Design es that wanagemen r single ished. task.	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank This work follows
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort 241-U-105 was perfo the methodology tha	underway to prozation source to, an evaluation remed, and a best at was establish	[] Const. Error/Covide waste invento terms for the various of available informed by the standard foopies)  1. Hall R2-1	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ inventory	Design es that wanagemen r single ished. task.	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank This work follows
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort 241-U-105 was perfort the methodology that  15. Distribution (include Central Files DOE Reading Room	underway to prozation source to an evaluation ormed, and a best was establish name, MSIN, and no. of 3-88 K. March 12-53 K. Ma	[] Const. Error/Convide waste inventored by the standard copies)  1. Hall R2-1  1. Hodgson R2-1	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ inventory	Design es that wanagement r single ished. task.	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank This work follows
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort 241-U-105 was perfort the methodology that  15. Distribution (include Central Files DOE Reading Room TCSRC	underway to prozation source to an evaluation ormed, and a best was establish to the second s	const. Error/Covide waste inventored waste inventored by the standard from the copies of the copies	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ inventory	Design es that wanagemen r single ished. task.	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank This work follows  RELEDAGE STAMP
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort 241-U-105 was perfort the methodology that  15. Distribution (include Central Files DOE Reading Room TCSRC File	underway to prozation source to an evaluation ormed, and a best was establish to the second s	[] Const. Error/Convide waste inventored by the standard copies)  1. Hall R2-1  1. Hodgson R2-1	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ inventory	es that wanagement single task.  G 25 16  DATE:	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank This work follows  RELEASE STAMP
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort 241-U-105 was perfort the methodology that  15. Distribution (include Central Files DOE Reading Room TCSRC File M. J. Kupfer	underway to prozation source to, an evaluation ormed, and a best was established.  name, MSIN, and no. of 3-88 K. Market St. Market	const. Error/Covide waste inventored waste inventored by the standard from the copies of the copies	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ inventory	Design es that wanagement r single ished. task.	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank This work follows  RELEDAGE STAMP
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort 241-U-105 was perfort the methodology that  15. Distribution (include Central Files DOE Reading Room TCSRC File	underway to prozation source to, an evaluation ormed, and a best was established.  name, MSIN, and no. of 3-88 K. Market St. Market	const. Error/Covide waste inventored waste inventored by the standard from the copies of the copies	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ inventory	es that wanagement single task.  G 25 16  DATE:	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank This work follows  RELEASE STAMP
As-Found [X]  14b. Justification Details  An effort is standard characteri part of this effort 241-U-105 was perfort the methodology that  15. Distribution (include Central Files DOE Reading Room TCSRC File M. J. Kupfer	underway to prozation source to, an evaluation ormed, and a best was established.  name, MSIN, and no. of 3-88 K. Market St. Market	const. Error/Covide waste inventored waste inventored by the standard from the copies of the copies	ry estimat us waste m rmation fo was establ inventory	es that wanagement single task.  G 25 16  DATE:	Error/Omission [] will serve as t activities. As -shell tank This work follows  RELEASE STAMP

EN	IGINEERING CHA	ANGE NOT	ICE	ı	Page 2 of 2		1. ECN (use no. fro 638285	m pg. 1)
16. Design Verification Required	17. Cost Impact ENGINEER	RING	CONS	TRUCT I	ON	18.	Schedule Impact (da	ys)
[] Yes	Additional [	1 \$	Additional	$\Box$	\$	Imp	rovement []	
	Savings [	1 \$	Savings	ri	\$	Del	ay [j	
that will be af SDD/DD  Functional Design Criteria Operating Specification Criticality Specification Conceptual Design Report Equipment Spec.  Const. Spec.  Procurement Spec.  Vendor Information  OM Manual  FSAR/SAR  Safety Equipment List  Radiation Work Permit  Environmental Impact State  Environmental Report  Environmental Permit  20. Other Affected indicate that the	eview: Indicate the fected by the change []  [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	e described i Seismic Stress/E Interface Calibrati Installat Mainten Enginee Operatir Operatir Operatir EFD Dr. Cell Arr. Essentia Fac. Pro Inspecti Inventor	cuments (other than n Block 13. Enter / Stress Analysis Design Report e Control Drawing ion Procedure ion Procedure ring Procedure ring Procedure on Isafety Requirement awing angement Drawing angement Drawing and Material Specification oc. Samp. Schedule on Plan ry Adjustment Request isted below will not intered of other	the i	revised by th	ocument in Tank Health Spart Test Company ASM Hum Company Elect ICRS Procepured Tickl	nts identified on Signatures below.  Calibration Manual th Physics Procedure es Multiple Unit Listing Procedures/Specification ponent Index  E Coded Item an Factor Consideration puter Software cric Circuit Schedule ess Control Manual/Plan ess Flow Chart hase Requisition er File	
	mber/Revîsion		cument Number/Revi		22222, 2,		cument Number Revisi	on
21. Approvals			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Signature		Date		Sign	nature	•	Date
Design Authority		1		Desigr	Agent		_	
Cog. Eng. M. J. Ku	pfer my grans		8-14-97	PE				
Cog. Mgr. K. M. Ho	odgson K.M. Hrdg	~	9-14-97	QA				
QA	,			Safety	′		_	
Safety				Desigr	1			
Environ.	0 .0			Enviro	on.			
Other T. M. Brown	Todal Brown	•	8/14/97	Other			_	
,			•					
			<del> </del>				_	
	•	•		DEPAR1	MENT OF ENERG	<u>3Y</u>		
					cure or a Cont the Approval			
•				ADDIT	ONAL		_	
				ADD I T I	ORAL			
							_	
					•			



# Tank Characterization Report for Single-Shell Tank 241-U-105

B. A. Higley

Lockheed Martin Hanford Hanford Corporation, Richland, WA 99352 U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-ACO6-96RL13200

EDT/ECN: 638285

UC: 712

Org Code: 74610 kd

Charge Code:

N4G3A

B&R Code: EW3120074

Total Pages:

265 KN

Key Words: TCR, best-basis inventory

An effort is underway to provide waste inventory estimates Abstract: that will serve as standard characterization source terms for the various waste management activities. As part of this effort, an evaluation of available information for single-shell tank 241-U-105 was performed, and a best-basis inventory was established. This work follows the methodology that was established by the standard inventory task.

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America. To obtain copies of this document, contact: Document Control Services, P.O. Box 950, Mailstop H6-08, Richland WA 99352, Phone (509) 372-2420; Fax (509) 376-4989.

> HANFORD RELEASE

> > Release Stamp

## RECORD OF REVISION

(1) Document Number
WHC-SD-WM-ER-617

Page 1

(2) Title

Tank Characterization Report for Single-Shell Tank 241-U-105

	CHANGE CONTROL RECORD	
(3) Revision	(4) Description of Change - Replace, Add, and Delete Pages	Authorized for Release
	. Pages	(5) Cog. Engr. (6) Cog. Mgr. Date
0	(7) Initially released 9/26/96 on EDT- 617550.	T. M. Brown J. G. Kristofzski
OA RS	Incorporate per ECN-638285.	M. J. Kupfer K. M. Hodgson PRIKUPS 8-14-97 K. W. Hodgson
		DRIKey S-14.97 K. Willougho 8-14-97
•		

# APPENDIX D

# EVALUATION TO ESTABLISH BEST-BASIS INVENTORY FOR SINGLE-SHELL TANK 241-U-105

This page intentionally left blank.

#### APPENDIX D

# EVALUATION TO ESTABLISH BEST-BASIS INVENTORY FOR SINGLE-SHELL TANK 241-U-105

An effort is underway to provide waste inventory estimates that will serve as standard characterization source terms for the various waste management activities (Hodgson and LeClair 1996). As part of this effort, an evaluation of available information for single-shell tank 241-U-105 was performed, and a best-basis inventory was established. This work, detailed in the following sections, follows the methodology that was established by the standard inventory task.

#### D1.0 CHEMICAL INFORMATION SOURCES

Section 4.0 provides characterization results from the 1996 characterization event for this tank. Three core samples were obtained and analyzed. A sample-based inventory was prepared based on the core sample analytical results, a waste density of 1.70 g/mL, and a waste volume of 1,580 kL. The Hanford Defined Waste (HDW) model (Agnew et al. 1996) provides tank contents estimates, derived from process flowsheets and waste volume records.

#### D2.0 COMPARISON OF COMPONENT INVENTORY VALUES

The sample-based inventory estimate (Section 4.0) and the inventory estimate from the HDW model (Agnew et al. 1996) for tank 241-U-105, are shown in Tables D2-1 and D2-2. (The chemical species are reported without charge designation per the best-basis inventory convention.) The waste solids volume used to generate both estimates is 1,580 kL. The estimates, however, use different waste densities. The sample-based inventory used a measured bulk density of 1.70 g/mL. The current HDW model uses a lower waste density of 1.62 g/mL. Few significant differences between the sample-based and HDW model inventories are apparent; only Bi, Ca, Fe, Mn, CO<sub>3</sub>, and U vary by a factor of two or more.

Table D2-1. Sampling and Hanford Defined Waste Model-Based Inventory Estimates for Nonradioactive Components in Tank 241-U-105. (2 Sheets)

Analyte	Sampling inventory estimate <sup>a</sup> (kg)	HDW model inventory estimate <sup>b</sup> (kg)	Analyte	Sampling inventory estimate <sup>a</sup> (kg)	HDW model inventory estimate <sup>b</sup> (kg)
Al	44,800	68,400	Nd	<212	NR
- Ag	47.7	NR	NH <sub>4</sub>	NR	1,705
As	< 177	NR	Ni	365	640
В	285	NR	$NO_2$	180,000	171,000
Ba	<92.3	NR	NO <sub>3</sub>	752,000	531,000
Be	< 8.84	NR	ОН	NR	214,000
Bi	<231	509	oxalate	24,500	5.79
Ca	688	2,720	Pb	492	351
Ce	<198	NR	P as PO <sub>4</sub>	30,100	19,900
Cd	47.7	NR	Sb	<108	NR
. Cl	10,500	12,200	Se	<223	NR T
Со	<35.3	NR	Si	793	3,720
Cr	6,290	4,780	S as SO <sub>4</sub>	31,300	40,900
Cu	30.8	NR	Sr	<18.9	2.25
·F	3,010	2,620	TIC as CO <sub>3</sub>	126,000	59,900
Fe	7,100	1,510	Ti	<20.3	NR
FeCN/CN	221	0	TOC	30,000	23,700
Hg	NR	3.19	U <sub>TOTAL</sub>	5,560	62,200
K	3,680	3,650	V	< 89.1	NR
La	<98.2	10.7	W	NR	NR
Mg	<323	NR	Zn	74.4	NR
Mn	2,500	342	Zr	84.9	155
Мо	< 105	NR	H <sub>2</sub> O(Wt%)	28.6	33.2

Table D2-1. Sampling and Hanford Defined Waste Model-Based Inventory Estimates for Nonradioactive Components in Tank 241-U-105. (2 Sheets)

Analyte	Sampling inventory estimate <sup>a</sup> (kg)	HDW model inventory estimate <sup>b</sup> (kg)	Analyte	Sampling inventory estimate <sup>a</sup> (kg)	HDW model inventory estimate <sup>b</sup> (kg)
Na <sub>.</sub>	502,000	458,000	Density (kg/L)	1.70	1.62

HDW = Hanford Defined Waste

NR = Not reported

Table D2-2. Sampling and Hanford Defined Waste Model-Based Inventory Estimates for Radioactive Components in Tank 241-U-105.

Analyte	Sampling inventory estimate <sup>a</sup> (Ci)	HDW model inventory estimate <sup>b</sup> (Ci)	Analyte	Sampling inventory estimate* (Ci)	HDW model inventory estimate <sup>b</sup> (Ci)
<sup>60</sup> Co	<3,570	NR	<sup>237</sup> Np	<2,840	NR
90Sr	146,000	200,000	<sup>239/240</sup> Pu	NR	140
<sup>137</sup> Cs	384,000	404,000	<sup>241</sup> Am	<70,500	NR
<sup>154</sup> Eu	1,590	NR	Total α	1,800	NR
155Eu	<38,200	NR			

HDW = Hanford Defined Waste

NR = Not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Section 4.0 of this TCR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Agnew et al. (1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Section 4.0 of this TCR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Agnew et al. (1996), decayed to January 1, 1994.

This page intentionally left blank.

#### D3.0 COMPONENT INVENTORY EVALUATION

The following evaluation of tank contents is performed to identify potential errors and/or missing information that would influence the sampling-based and HDW model component inventories.

#### **D3.1 CONTRIBUTING WASTE TYPES**

Tank 241-U-105 was put into service in December 1947 as the second tank in the 241-U-104, 241-U-105, and 241-U-106 cascade. The cascade received metal waste from T Plant. Waste began overflowing from tank 241-U-104 in December 1947 and tank 241-U-105 overflowed to tank 241-U-106 in May 1948. In 1957 tank 241-U-105 was sluiced and the metal waste sent to U Plant. After sluicing the tank was declared empty.

The tank received little transfer activity until 1961. In the 1961 to 1962 time period, tank 241-U-105 was used to receive reduction oxidation (REDOX) high-level waste (HLW) and later REDOX cladding waste. Little activity occurred at the tank until 1974 when supernatant was pumped to tank 241-S-110. Beginning in the second quarter 1975, tank 241-U-105 was used to receive and store evaporator bottoms from a number of tanks, as well as transfer supernatant to other tanks.

The current waste volumes for tank 241-U-105 are shown in Table D3-1 (Hanlon 1996).

Waste	Volume (kL)	Volume (kgal)
Sludge	121	32
Salt cake	1,320	349
Supernatant	140	· 37
Drainable Liquid	594	157
Total Waste	1,581	418

Table D3-1. Waste Inventory of 241-U-105.

Table D3-2 lists the documented quantities of waste discharged to tank 241-U-105 based on the Waste Status and Transaction Record Summary (WSTRS) (Agnew et al. 1995). These records indicate that the solids in this tank should be mostly Evaporator Bottoms and REDOX HLW. This is consistent with the priority established by the Sort on Radioactive Waste Type (SORWT) model (see Table D3-3).

Table D3-2. Waste Transaction Information for Tank 241-U-105a.

Waste source	Waste volume (kL)	Waste volume (kgal)
BiPO <sub>4</sub> Metal Waste	4,012	1,060
Flush Water	2,010	530
BiPO <sub>4</sub> Metal Waste	4,663	1,232
Tank sluiced		
Flush Water	1,420	374
REDOX HLW	481	127
REDOX Cladding Waste	1,220	322
242-T Evaporator Bottoms from 241-TX-106	1,090	287
Evaporator Waste from 241-TX-118	3,590	949
242-S Evaporator Waste from 241-S-102	2,640	698
242-S Evaporator Waste from 241-SY-102	723	191
Total Waste Throughput	21,840	5,770
Current Inventory	1,580	418

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Agnew et al. (1995).

The types of solids accumulated in tank 241-U-105 reported by various authors are compiled in Tables D3-3 and Table D3-4.

Table D3-3. Expected Solids for Tank 241-U-105. (2 sheets)

Reference	Waste type
(Anderson 1990)	MW, R, R-CW, EB, Resid. HDRL, CCPLX
SORWT Model (Hill et al. 1995)	EB, CW, R
WSTRS (Agnew et al. 1995)	MW, R, CW, EB, HDRL, CCPLX

Table D3-3. Expected Solids for Tank 241-U-105. (2 sheets)

Reference	Waste type
HDW Model (Agnew et al. 1996)	MW, SMMT2, SMMS2

CCPLX = Complexant concentrate

CW = Cladding waste

EB = Evaporator bottoms

HDRL = Hanford Defense Residual Liquid

HDW = Hanford Defined Waste

MW = Metal waste

R = REDOX high-level waste

Resid. = Residual

SMMS2 = Supernatant Mixing Model salt cake waste generated from the 242-S Evaporator/Crystallizer from 1977 until 1980

SMMT2 = Supernatant Mixing Model salt cake waste generated from the 242-T Evaporator/crystallizer from 1955 until 1965

SORWT = Sort on Radioactive Waste Type

WSTRS = Waste Status and Transaction Record Summary.

Table D3-4. Hanford Defined Waste Model Solids for Tank 241-U-105.

Tank layer model solids	s layer kL
MW	121
SMMT2	280
SMMS2	1,041

MW = Metal waste

SMMS2 = Supernatant Mixing Model salt cake waste generated from the 242-S Evaporator/Crystallizer from 1977 until 1980

SMMT2 = Supernatant Mixing Model salt cake waste generated from the 242-T Evaporator/crystallizer from 1955 until 1965.

The current assessment of the sample data, the most recent WSTRS document (Agnew et al. 1995), as well as the Anderson (1990) document, support the position that metal waste was removed from tank 241-U-105 and that the solids heel existing before receipt of evaporator solids consists of REDOX HLW and cladding waste. Appendix C and Appendix D of the HDW model incorrectly identify the sludge heel as BiPO<sub>4</sub> metal waste (Agnew et al. 1996).

#### D3.2 EVALUATION OF PROCESS FLOWSHEET INFORMATION

Detailed review of the WSTRS document, and TCR indicate that tank 241-U-105 received 481 kL of REDOX HLW (R2) waste and 1,220 kL of REDOX cladding waste (CWR2) and that essentially all the MW was removed during sluicing.

Table D3-5 re-calculates the HDW model inventory without the contribution from the metal waste. The HDW model estimate of tank 241-U-105 contents includes 121 kL of metal waste (MW1).

Table D3-5. Hanford Defined Waste Model Inventory Estimate of Tank 241-U-105 Without BiPO<sub>4</sub> Metal Waste. (2 Sheets)

Species	MW1 sludge composition from HDW model (ppm)	MW1 sludge inventory in HDW model (kg)	HDW model inventory estimate (kg)	HDW model inventory estimate without MW1 (kg)
Al	0	0	68,400	68,400
Bi	0	0	509	503
Ca	1,930.4	408	2,720	2,310
CI	25.533	5.4	12,200	12,200
Cr	36.925	7.8	4,780	4,770
F	0	0	2,620	2,620
Fe	3,794.1	. 803	1,510	707
FeCN/CN	0	0	0	0
Hg	0	0	3.19	3.19
K	6.1257	1.3	3,650	3,650
La	0	0	10.7	10.7
Mn	0	0	342	342
Na	66,335	14,000	458,000	444,000
NH₄	0.0362	0.008	1,705	1,705
Ni	20.845	4.41	640	640
NO <sub>2</sub>	241.05	51	171,000	175,000
NO <sub>3</sub>	2,216.8	469	531,000	531,000
ОН	127.111	27	214,000	214,000
oxalate	0	0	5.79	5.79
Pb	0	0	351	351

Table D3-5. Hanford Defined Waste Model Inventory Estimate of Tank 241-U-105 Without BiPO<sub>4</sub> Metal Waste. (2 Sheets)

Species	MW1 sludge composition from HDW model (ppm)	MW1 sludge inventory in HDW model (kg)	HDW model inventory estimate (kg)	HDW model inventory estimate without MW1 (kg)
P as PO <sub>4</sub>	21,734	4,593	19,900	15,300
Si	24.934	5.26	3,720	3,720
S as SO <sub>4</sub>	4,510.56	953	40,900	39,900
Sr	0	0	2.25	2.25
TIC as CO <sub>3</sub>	64,793	13,700	59,900	46,200
U <sub>TOTAL</sub>	270,906	57,300	62,200	4,900
Zr	0	0	155	155
Density	1.7467	0	1.62	

HDW = Hanford Defined Waste

MW1 = metal waste generated from the bismuth phosphate process from 1944 through 1951.

Table D3-6 estimates the inventory of REDOX HLW and cladding waste (CW) added to tank 241-U-105 based on REDOX Flowsheet No. 6 as defined in Appendix D of Kupfer et al. (1997). The inventories are based on tank 241-U-105 receipts of 481 kL of REDOX HLW waste and 1,220 kL of REDOX CW.

The data in Table D3-6 must be further modified to reflect the impact of supernatant transfers out of the tank 241-U-105 on the REDOX HLW and REDOX CW inventory. These adjustments can be based on empirical factors derived from other tank samples.

Table D3-6. Reduction Oxidation Wastes Added to Tank 241-U-105. (2 Sheets)

Species	REDOX HLW (kg)	REDOX CW (kg)	Total REDOX Waste (kg)
Al	12,400	63,400	75,800
Bi	4.9	NR	4.9
$C_2O_4^{-3}$	342	NR	342
Cr	3,290	NR	3,290
F	NR	NR	NR

Table D3-6. Reduction Oxidation Wastes Added to Tank 241-U-105. (2 Sheets)

Species	REDOX HLW (kg)	REDOX CW (kg)	Total REDOX Waste (kg)
Fe	202	NR	202
I	2.7	NR	2.7
K	NR	NR	NR
Mn	NR	NR	NR
Na	80,200	134,800	215,000
NO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub> 0 NO <sub>3</sub> 114,000 Si 0		81,200
NO <sub>3</sub>			174,100
Si			942
SO <sub>4</sub>	1,010	NR	1,010
U	75.9	1,625	1,700
Zr	NR	NR	NR

CW = Coating (cladding) waste

HLW = High-level waste

NR = Not reported

REDOX = Reduction oxidation.

Tank 241-U-204 is considered to be a good example of REDOX CW and tank 241-SX-108 is considered to be an example of REDOX HLW. Examination of the best-basis evaluation for these tanks indicates the following:

- Bi, Fe, Si, and U fully precipitate.
- 9 percent of the Al precipitates in REDOX HLW and 30 percent precipitates in REDOX CW.
- 7 percent of the Cr precipitates in REDOX HLW.
- 10 percent of the sulfate precipitates in REDOX HLW.
- Na, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, I, and oxalate remain dissolved in the interstitial liquid.
- The interstitial liquid volume is 65.085 percent of the sludge volume.

Table D3-7 shows the calculated composition of the precipitated REDOX HLW and CW sludge using the characteristics of 241-U-204 and 241-SX-108 waste.

Table D3-7. Reduction Oxidation Wastes Precipitated or Trapped in Tank 241-U-105 Sludge.

Species	REDOX HLW (kg)	REDOX CW (kg)	Total REDOX Waste (kg)
Al	1,120	19,020	20,100
Bi	4.9	NR	4.9
oxalate	16	NR	16
Cr	230	NR	230
F	NR	NR	NR ·
Fe	202	NR	202
I	0.1	NR	0.1
K	NR	NR	NR
Mn	NR	NR	NR
Na	3,760	6,320	10,100
NO <sub>2</sub>	0	3,810	3,810
NO <sub>3</sub>	5,340	2,820	8,160
Si	0	942	942
SO <sub>4</sub>	101	NR	101
U	75.9	1,625	1,700
Zr	NR	NR	NR

CW = Coating (cladding) waste

HLW = High-level waste

NR = Not reported

REDOX = Reduction oxidation.

Table D3-8 re-calculates the HDW model inventory by adding back in the contribution from the REDOX wastes shown in Table D3-7.

Table D3-8. Revised Hanford Defined Waste Model Inventory Estimate of Tank 241-U-105.

Species	HDW model inventory estimate without MW1 (kg)	REDOX sludge inventory estimate from Table D3-7 (kg)	Revised HDW model inventory estimate (kg)
Al	68,400	20,100	88,500
Bi	503	4.9	508
Ca	2,310		2,310
Cl .	12,200		12,200
Cr	4,770	230	5,000
F	2,620		2,620
Fe	707	202	909
FeCN/CN	0		0
Hg	3.19		3.19
K	3,650		3,650
La	10.7		10.7
Mn	342		342 .
Na	444,000	10,100	454,000
NH <sub>4</sub>	1,705	`	1,705
Ni	640		640
NO <sub>2</sub>	175,000	3,810	179,000
NO <sub>3</sub>	531,000	8,160	539,000
ОН	214,000		214,000
oxalate	5.79	16	22
Pb	351		351
P as PO <sub>4</sub>	15,300	,	15,300
Si	3,720	942	4,660
S as SO <sub>4</sub>	39,900	101	40,000
Sr	2.25		2.25
TIC as CO <sub>3</sub>	46,200		46,200
U <sub>TOTAL</sub>	4,900		1,700
Zr	155		155

HDW = Hanford Defined Waste REDOX = Reduction oxidation.

The result of this revision to the HDW model is an improved reconciliation with the sampling-based estimate for U. However, for several other species, Al, Fe, Si, SO<sub>4</sub>, PO<sub>4</sub>, and total inorganic carbon (TIC), the difference between the sampling-based estimate and the HDW model estimate is increased. Al, Si, and SO<sub>4</sub> are apparently overestimated by the HDW model and Fe, PO<sub>4</sub>, and TIC are underestimated.

Photos of the tank interior show a light yellow colored salt cake over about half of the tank. The remainder of the surface is covered by a dark supernatant pool. The photo is current relative to the inventory shown in Table D3-1.

#### D3.3 DOCUMENT ELEMENT BASIS

Few significant differences between the sample-based and HDW model inventories are apparent, only Bi, Ca, Fe, Mn, CO<sub>3</sub>, and U vary by a factor of two or more. After the revision made by the independent evaluation, the species that vary by a factor of two or more are Al, Bi, Ca, Fe, Mn, Si, PO<sub>4</sub>, and CO<sub>3</sub>. The sample-based inventory used a measured bulk density of 1.70 g/mL. The current HDW model uses a lower waste density of 1.62 g/mL.

**Aluminum.** The sample-based estimate, HDW model, and independent evaluation for aluminum are 44,800 kg, 68,400 kg, and 88,500 kg, respectively. The high Al estimates of the HDW model and the independent evaluation may be biased by the overall Al inventory assumed in the HDW model and the high precipitation factor determined for REDOX cladding waste.

**Bismuth**. The sample-based estimate, HDW model, and independent evaluation for bismuth are <231 kg, 509 kg, and 508 kg, respectively. The source of Bi in the HDW model would appear to be due the assumptions made for the SMMT2 and/or SMMS2 models. The independent evaluation has not identified a source of bismuth for this tank.

**Calcium**. The sample-based estimate, HDW model, and independent evaluation for calcium are 688 kg, 2,720 kg, and 2,310 kg, respectively. The source of Ca in the HDW model would appear to be due the assumptions made for the SMMT2 and/or SMMS2 models.

**Iron.** The sample-based estimate, HDW model, and independent evaluation for iron are 7,100 kg, 1,510 kg, and 909 kg, respectively. The source of iron in the 241-U-105 tank may be the complexed waste reported by Anderson (1990).

Manganese. The sample-based estimate, HDW model, and independent evaluation for manganese are 2,500 kg, 342 kg, and 342 kg, respectively. The source of Mn found in the sample-based inventory has not been identified. It is expected that Mn would have precipitated and would have been introduced to tank 241-U-105 by supernatant or salt cake slurry transfers. Although early REDOX wastes contained Mn, the REDOX wastes added to

tank 241-U-105 appear to have been generated after the KMnO<sub>4</sub> process was discarded. Section 5.13 states that KMnO<sub>4</sub> was used in REDOX until September 1959, whereas the REDOX waste additions were made to 241-U-105 after October 1960. Manganese may also have been added as a decontamination agent from T Plant via the SMMT2 or SMMS2 model.

Silicon. The sample-based estimate, HDW model, and independent evaluation for silicon are 792 kg, 3,720 kg, and 4,660 kg, respectively. The HDW model indicates that 3,720 kg of silicon are introduced to tank 241-U-105 in the SMMT2 and SMMS2 models. The assumptions in these models have not been examined.

**Sulfate**. The sample-based estimate, HDW model, and independent evaluation for sulfate are 31,300 kg, 40,900 kg, and 39,900 kg, respectively. The HDW model indicates that 40,900 kg of sulfate are introduced to tank 241-U-105 in the SMMT2 and SMMS2 models. This number, without the contribution from the REDOX wastes, is substantially larger than the sample-based inventory.

**Phosphate**. The sample-based estimate, HDW model, and independent evaluation for phosphate are 30,100 kg, 19,900 kg, and 15,300 kg, respectively. Comparison of these three values suggests that the tank contains more phosphate bearing salt cake than was identified in the transaction records. A possible source of the additional phosphate might be phosphate based decontamination agents used at T Plant and other facilities.

Total Inorganic Carbon. The sample-based estimate, HDW model, and independent evaluation for TIC as CO<sub>3</sub> are 126,000 kg, 59,900 kg, and 46,200 kg, respectively. The sample data suggest that the tank contains more TIC in the salt cake than is calculated by the SMMT2 and SMMS2 models.

**Total Hydroxide.** Once the best-basis inventories were determined, the hydroxide inventory was calculated by performing a charge balance with the valences of other analytes. This charge balance approach is consistent with that used by Agnew et al. (1997).

#### D4.0 DEFINE THE BEST-BASIS AND ESTABLISH COMPONENT INVENTORIES

The results from this evaluation support using the sampling data for tank 241-U-105 for the following reasons.

- 1. Core sample data from three risers, at approximately two widely spaced positions, were used to estimate component inventories. Recovery of sample segments, however, was poor.
- 2. The sample-based inventory reconciles better with the position that the sludge layer in the tank is REDOX HLW and REDOX CW rather than BiPO<sub>4</sub> MW.
- 3. The multitude of waste types that are in the tank or were added to the tank and later removed has resulted in a tank history that is sufficiently complex that comparison to process flowsheets is impractical.

Best-basis inventory estimates for tank 241-U-105 are presented in Tables D4-1 and D4-2. The projected inventory is based on a volume of 1,580 kL and a sample-derived waste density of 1.70 g/mL.

The inventory values reported in Tables D4-1 and D4-2 are subject to change. Refer to the Tank Characterization Database (TCD) for the most current inventory values.

Best-basis tank inventory values are derived for 46 key radionuclides (as defined in Section 3.1 of Kupfer et al. 1997), all decayed to a common report date of January 1, 1994. Often, waste sample analyses have only reported 90Sr, 137Cs, 239/240Pu, and total uranium (or total beta and total alpha), while other key radionuclides such as 60Co, 99Tc, 129I, 154Eu, 155Eu, and <sup>241</sup>Am, etc., have been infrequently reported. For this reason it has been necessary to derive most of the 46 key radionuclides by computer models. These models estimate radionuclide activity in batches of reactor fuel, account for the split of radionuclides to various separations plant waste streams, and track their movement with tank waste transactions. (These computer models are described in Kupfer et al. 1997, Section 6.1 and in Watrous and Wootan 1997.) Model generated values for radionuclides in any of 177 tanks are reported in the HDW Rev. 4 model results (Agnew et al. 1997). The best-basis value for any one analyte may be either a model result or a sample or engineering assessment-based result if available. (No attempt has been made to ratio or normalize model results for all 46 radionuclides when values for measured radionuclides disagree with the model.) For a discussion of typical error between model derived values and sample derived values, see Kupfer et al. 1997, Section 6.1.10.

Best-basis tables for chemicals and only four radionuclides ( $^{90}$ Sr,  $^{137}$ Cs, Pu and U) were being generated in 1996, using values derived from an earlier version (Rev. 3) of the HDW model. When values for all 46 radionuclides became available in Rev 4 of the HDW model, they were merged with draft best-basis chemical inventory documents. Defined scope of work in FY 1997 did not permit Rev. 3 chemical values to be updated to Rev. 4 chemical values.

Table D4-1. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Nonradioactive Components in Tank 241-U-105 (Effective January 31, 1997). (2 sheets)

	Total	Basis	(2 Shoots)
Analyte	inventory	(S, M, or C) <sup>1</sup>	Comment
A 1	(kg)	C	# M DSD-22.2
Al	44,800	S .	% Mean RSD=23.3
Bi	<231	S	
Ca	688	S	%Mean RSD=17.8
Cl	10,500	S	%Mean RSD=9.55
TIC as CO <sub>3</sub>	126,000	S	%Mean RSD= 17.0
Cr	6,290	S	%Mean RSD=15.6
F	3,010	S	%Mean RSD=22.6
Fe	7,100	S	%Mean RSD=24.4
Hg	3.19	M	Rev. 3 model value
K	3,680	S	%Mean RSD=6.79
La	<98.2	S	
Mn	2,500	S	%Mean RSD=48.1
Na	502,000	S	%Mean RSD=2.25
Ni	365	S	%MEAN RSD=8.05
NO <sub>2</sub>	180,000	· s	%Mean RSD=6.34
NO <sub>3</sub>	752,000	S	%Mean RSD=6.65
OH <sub>TOTAL</sub>	82,700	C	Based on charge balance
P as PO <sub>4</sub>	30,100	S	%Mean RSD=28.4
Pb	492	S	%Mean RSD=17.2
S as SO <sub>4</sub>	31,300	S	%Mean RSD=11.2
Si	793	S	%Mean RSD=15.9
Sr	< 18.9	S	
TOC	30,000	S	%Mean RSD=5.92
U <sub>TOTAL</sub>	5,560	S	%Mean RSD=61.5

Table D4-1. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Nonradioactive Components in Tank 241-U-105 (Effective January 31, 1997). (2 sheets)

Analyte	Total inventory (kg)	Basis (S. M., or C) <sup>1</sup>	Соттелі
Zr	84.9	S	%Mean RSD=31.7

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>S = Sample-based$ 

M = Hanford Defined Waste model-based (Agnew 1996)

C = Calculated by charge balance; includes oxides as hydroxides, not including  $CO_3$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $NO_3$ ,  $PO_4$ ,  $SO_4$ , and  $SiO_3$ .

Table D4-2. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Radioactive Components in Tank 241-U-105 Decayed to January 1, 1994 (Effective January 31, 1997). (2 Sheets)

Analyte	Total inventory (Ci)	Basis (S, M, or E) <sup>1</sup>	Comment
· 3H	427	M	
<sup>14</sup> C	62.9	M	
<sup>59</sup> Ni	4.08	M	
<sup>60</sup> Co	70.1	M	
<sup>63</sup> Ni	400	M	
<sup>79</sup> Se	6.25	M	
%Sr	154,000	S	%Mean RSD=9.24
<sup>90</sup> Y	154,000	S	Equilibrium value with <sup>90</sup> Sr
93mNb	22.2	M	
<sup>93</sup> Zr	30.7	M	·
<sup>99</sup> Tc	446	M	
<sup>106</sup> Ru	0.0127	М	·
<sup>113m</sup> Cd	161	M	·
<sup>125</sup> Sb	303	М	
<sup>126</sup> Sn	9.44	M	
<sup>129</sup> I	0.86	M	
<sup>134</sup> Cs	5.09	M	
<sup>137m</sup> Ba	383,000	S	Equilibrium value with <sup>137</sup> Cs
<sup>137</sup> Cs	404,500	S	%Mean RSD=7.37
<sup>151</sup> Sm	22,000	M	

Table D4-2. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Radioactive Components in Tank 241-U-105 Decayed to January 1, 1994 (Effective January 31, 1997). (2 Sheets)

Analyte	Total inventory (Ci)	Basis (S, M, or E) <sup>1</sup>	Comment
<sup>152</sup> Eu	7.5	M	
<sup>154</sup> Eu	1,140	M	
<sup>155</sup> Eu	445	M	
<sup>226</sup> Ra	2.84 E-04	M	
<sup>227</sup> Ac	0.00174	М	
<sup>228</sup> Ra	0.29	М	
<sup>229</sup> Th	0.00679	M	
<sup>231</sup> Pa	0.00783	M	
<sup>232</sup> Th	0.0192	M	
<sup>232</sup> U	1.47	M	
<sup>233</sup> U	5.65	M	
<sup>234</sup> U	18.2	M	
<sup>235</sup> U	0.81	М	·
<sup>236</sup> U	0.154	М	
<sup>237</sup> Np	1.61	M	
<sup>238</sup> Pu	2.61	М	
<sup>238</sup> U	18.7	M	
<sup>239</sup> Pu	89.5	M	
<sup>240</sup> Pu	15.2	М	
<sup>241</sup> Am	107	M	
<sup>241</sup> Pu	177	M	
<sup>242</sup> Cm	0.287	M	
<sup>242</sup> Pu	9.74 E-04	M	
<sup>243</sup> Am	0.00382	M	
<sup>243</sup> Cm	0.0266	M	
<sup>244</sup> Cm	0.259	M	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>S = Sample-based

M = Hanford Defined Waste model-based

E = Engineering assessment-based

NR = Not reported.

#### D5.0 APPENDIX D REFERENCES

- Agnew, S. F., R. A. Corbin, T. B. Duran, K. A. Jurgensen, T. P. Ortiz, and B. L. Young, 1995, Waste Status and Transaction Record Summary (WSTRS Rev. 2), WHC-SD-WM-TI-615, -614, -669, -689, Rev. 2, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.
- Agnew, S. F., J. Boyer, R. A. Corbin, T. B. Duran, J. R. FitzPatrick, K. A. Jurgensen, T. P. Ortiz, and B. L. Young, 1996, Hanford Tank Chemical and Radionuclide Inventories: HDW Model Rev. 3, LA-UR-96-858, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.
- Agnew, S. F., J. Boyer, R. A. Corbin, T. B. Duran, J. R. FitzPatrick, K. A. Jurgensen, T. P. Ortiz, and B. L. Young, 1997, *Hanford Tank Chemical and Radionuclide Inventories: HDW Model Rev. 4*, LA-UR-96-3860, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.
- Anderson, J. D., 1990, A History of the 200 Area Farms, WHC-MR-0132, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Hanlon, B. M., 1996, Waste Tank Summary Report for Month Ending May 31, 1996, WHC-EP-182-99, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington
- Hill, J. G., G. S. Anderson, and B. C. Simpson, 1995, The Sort on Radioactive Waste Type Model: A Method to Sort Single-Shell Tanks into Characteristic Groups, PNL-9814, Rev. 2, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Hodgson, K. M., and M. D. LeClair, 1996, Work Plan for Defining a Standard Inventory
   Estimate for Wastes Stored in Hanford Site Underground Tanks,
   WHC-SD-WM-WP-311, Rev. 1, Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland,
   Washington.
- Kupfer, M. J., A. L. Boldt, B. A. Higley, K. M. Hodgson, L. W. Shelton, and R. A. Watrous (LMHC), S. L. Lambert, and D. E. Place (SESC), R. M. Orme (NHC), G. L. Borsheim (Borsheim Associates), N. G. Colton (PNNL), M. D. LeClair (SAIC), R. T. Winward (Meier Associates), and W. W. Schulz (W<sup>2</sup>S Corporation), 1997, Standard Inventories of Chemicals and Radionuclides in Hanford Site Tank Wastes, HNF-SD-WM-TI-740, Rev. 0, Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, Washington.
- Watrous, R. A., and D. W. Wootan, 1997, Activity of Fuel Batches Processed Through Hanford Separations Plants, 1944 Through 1989, HNF-SD-WM-TI-794, Rev. 0, Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, Washington.

This page intentionally left blank.